

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/459,644	12/13/1999	TAKASHI TSUNODA	862.3166	1438
5514 75	01/13/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			CHUNG, DANIEL J	
NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	12
		DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary		09/459,644	TSUNODA, TAKASHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel J Chung	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. maintenance may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ywithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 O	ctober 2003.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 31,33-35,37,38,40-42,44 and 45 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>31,33-35,37,38,40-42,44 and 45</u> is/are rejected.					
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
* ; 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic application of the foreign language productions are the company of the company of the foreign language productions are the company of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification of pvisional application has been re to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No yed in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 31,33-35,37-38,40-42 and 44-45 are presented for examination. Claims 32,36,39 and 43 have been cancelled by the amendment filed on 10-9-2003. This office action is in response to the Amendment filed on 10-9-2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31,33-35,37-38,40-42 and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokunaga et al (5,968,132) in view of Shishido (6,137,490).

Regarding claim 31, Tokunaga et al discloses that the claimed feature of a display device capable of displaying first and second windows ["a multi-window environment"] on a display screen, comprising: first receiving ["image receiving unit"; 44] means for receiving first image data, which is sequentially transferred from a first external device in units of frames, to be displayed on the first window [i.e. 25-1]; second receiving means for receiving second image data, which is sequentially transferred from a second external device in units of frames, to be displayed on the second window; memory ["main storage"; 33] means for storing the first image data and the second

Art Unit: 2672

image data (See col 14 line 24-25, col 14 line 40-42); connecting means fro connecting first receiving means and second receiving means to memory means, and storing control ["window managing unit"; 50g, "frame number adjusting signal outputting unit"] means for storing the first image data ["image data within focus window"] received by first receiving means and the second image data ["image data within no focus window"] in memory means through connecting means, wherein connecting means opens and closes a first connecting gate which connects second receiving means and memory means at predetermined intervals when the first window is an active window ["focusing window"], and opens and closes a second connecting gate which connects first receiving means and memory means at predetermined intervals when the second window is an active window. (See Fig 31, Fig 32, Fig 33, Fig 36, col 5 line 25-34, col 6 line 52-64, col 40 line 51-60, col 41 line 50-col 42 line 42, col 56 line 48-62, See claim 18, claim 25)

Tokunaga et al does not explicitly discloses that "connecting means" and "storing control means", as recited in claims. However, such limitations are shown in the teaching of Shishido. [i.e. 'SW unit' 16; 'CRT control unit' 15; 'input control unit' 14] (See Fig 8, col 1 line 56-col 2 line 5, col 6 line 61-col 7 line 24) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of Shishido into the teaching of Tokunaga et al, in order to produce multiple display system at a high efficiency (See col 2 line 1-5 in Shishido), as such improvement is also advantageously desirable in the

Art Unit: 2672

teaching of Tokunaga et al for the image data communication system with enhanced working efficiency.

Regarding claim 33, Tokunaga et al fails to teach that displays image data to be displayed on an active window at a higher luminance than a luminance of image data to be displayed on an inactive window. However, Shishido discloses that "changing the luminance of display of the first one of the display devices to a predetermined luminance level indicative of an inactive process, for changing the luminance of display of the second one of the display devices to a predetermined luminance level indicative of an active process." (See col 9 line 1-14, col 10 line 17-30) It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the teaching of Shishido into the teaching of Tokunaga et al, in order to provide efficient way to distinct between active window and inactive window, as such improvement is also advantageously desirable in the teaching of Tokunaga et al.

Regarding claim 34, Tokunaga et al discloses that a counter for outputting a signal when a counter value reaches a predetermined value, wherein connecting means opens and closes the first and second connecting gate on the basis of the signal output from counter. (See Fig 31, Fig 32, Fig 36, col 41 line 55-col 43 line 23, col 56 line 48-col 57 line 7)

Art Unit: 2672

Regarding claims 35,37-38,40-42 and 44-45, claims 35,37-38,40-42 and 44-45 are similar in scope to the claims 31 and 33-34, and thus the rejections to claims 31 and 33-34 hereinabove are also applicable to claims 35,37-38,40-42 and 44-45.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment [i.e. "connecting means", "storing control means"] necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-3419. He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 7:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael, Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Central fax)

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

djc January 9, 2004

> MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600